REPORT

OF THE

TWENTY-EIGHTH

Annual Conference

OF THE

SOCIAL-DEMOCRATIC PARTY (S.D.F.),

HELD IN THE

COAL EXCHANGE, MANCHESTER,

ON

GOOD FRIDAY, SATURDAY, AND EASTER SUNDAY,

April 17th, 18th, and 19th, 1908.

PRICE TWOPENCE.

Nondon:

PRINTED BY THE TWENTIETH CENTURY PRESS, LTD.

(Trade Union and 48 hours),

37A, Clerkenwell Green, London, E.C.,

AND PUBLISHED BY THE SOCIAL-DEMOCRATIC PARTY (S.D.F.),

21A, MAIDEN LANE, W.C.

1908.

THE SOCIAL-DEMOCRATIC PARTY.

Offices:

21a, Maiden Lane, Charing Cross, London, W.C.

Telephone No. 13877 Central.

REPORT

OF THE

TWENTY-EIGHTH ANNUAL CONFERENCE,

NO

April 17th, 18th, and 19th, 1908.

The Twenty-Eighth Annual Conference of the Social-Democratic Party was held at the Coal Exchange, Manchester, on Good Friday, Saturday, and Easter Sunday, April 17th, 18th, and 19th, 1908.

Chairman's Address.

P which was being witnessed in that constituency, he was convinced -for North-West Manchester had stated about the "farce" that the sham fight between Liberal and Tory was showing the our national politics, and was going to be so even more in the future than it had been in the past. The fight of the future lav capitalist party represented by Liberals and Tories on the other. between the party represented by us on the one hand, and the In spite of what the late Member—he hoped the very late Member were recognising that the Social-Democratic Party was a factor in capitalist press had taken in all our doings. The capitalist parties work of propaganda and organisation that number might be doubled or trebled in 1908-9. We could congratulate ourselves, not only on the number of new branches formed, but that we had months than ever before, as evidenced by the interest which the been brought into greater prominence during the past twelve been brought into existence, and with vigour and energy in our previous records. During last year fifty-seven new branches had been our growth in previous years, this year has eclipsed all congratulate ourselves that the past year has been one of assembled for the Annual Conference of the Party. and the Manchester comrades in particular, the delegates phenomenal success, so far as we are concerned. Great as has heartily welcoming, on behalf of the Lancashire comrades in general ference of the Social-Democratic Party he had great pleasure in JOHN MOORE, Moore, the provisional Chairman, gave the opening He said that on the occasion of the 28th Annual Con-We could

beginning of the end. Instead of a sham fight between candidates like Mr. Joynson-Hicks and Mr. Winston Churchill, we hoped that such sham fights will soon be things of the past, and that we should be brought face to face with our enemies, and that the battle would then be between the proletariat and the master-class, and end in the victory of the proletariat.

Speaking of our work as pioneers of the movement during the last twenty-eight years, the chairman referred to the time when it was said that there was only enough of us to fill a one-horse 'bus; it would now require a very much larger conveyance to hold even those who lived in the City of Manchester alone.

Reviewing the record of the strongest Government of modern times, there was Puritanism in the Licensing Bill, the Puritanism which loved to circumscribe and limit all pleasure among the working classes in order to drive them into the chapels and little Bethels. On the education question, our point of view was altogether different from those of the other parties. We realised undoubtedly that the fight of the so-called Education Bills was a struggle of the Nonconformists, the Anglicans, and the Catholics to dominate the children: our concern was for the physical welfare of the children, and the moral welfare could then look after itself. Our concern was that the children attending the elementary schools should first be fed before any kind of learning or education was given to them. We alone, when other parties were silent, had upheld the banner of looking after the little ones first. The organisation that had done so much on behalf of the children was the Party with which they had the privilege to be associated, and he was sure there was not a single man in the room who was not proud to stand upon the platform of the Social-Democratic Party.

It was our business to fight the Liberal and Tory alike. Why, within ten minutes' walk of the hall where the Conference was meeting there were slums, such as Angel Meadow and those in Ancoats, where the death-rate was so terrible as to warrant them in describing it as the continual murder of the people from generation to generation. All around we found justification for the fight we were waging. We must carry on this fight with greater vigour in order to rouse the proletariat to a sense of the things around them, and to secure the land of Great Britain for their own welfare; and to make them feel that instead of being engaged in a sham battle, they were taking part in a real fight on behalf of themselves and their fellow-workers in other lands. The only victory worth fighting for was the victory of Social-Democracy in all countries.

Election of Chairman and Officials.

After the opening address, J. Moore (Rochdale) was unanimously elected Chairman of the Annual Conference.

A. Lees (Tyldesley) was elected deputy-Chairman.

For Standing Orders and Credentials Committee, S. V. Amstell (Enfield), G. T. Cowpe (Bacup), and F. Davey (Bow and Bromley) were elected.

J. R. Crane (South-West Manchester), W. Foy (South Salford), and W. Geard (Battersea) were elected tellers.

On the motion of H. Outre at (Resmondsey) the following

On the motion of H. QUELCH (Bermondsey), the following resolution was unanimously agreed to:—

"This Annual Conference of the Social-Democratic Party sends cordial fraternal greetings to the Annual Delegate Meeting of the Printers' Warehousemen and Cutters' Union, and wishes the Union continued success in their efforts to improve the condition of their section of the working class. And this Conference calls attention to the bye-election in North-West Manchester, which affords an opportunity for organised labour to strike a blow against both sections of the capitalist class by supporting Dan Irving."

Cr. Dan Irving (Burnley) called attention to a notice in the press that the Manchester and Salford Trades Council had refused to endorse his candidature; the Chairman of that body had ruled out of order a proposition of support, being under the mistaken impression that he (Irving) was not a member of an affiliated body, whereas he had for many years been a member of the Gasworkers' Union.

On the motion of J. Sutcliffe (Rawtenstall), supported by VH. M. Hyndman (Central) and F. Victor Fisher (Central), the Conference repudiated a pamphlet which had been distributed in Manchester entitled "Socialism: Christ, the Enemy of the Human Race," and called upon the distributors of this pamphlet to withdraw it.

The suggested Standing Orders for the Conference as drawn up and submitted to the branches were then agreed to, after one slight alteration had been made; and it was further decided that the voting at the Conference should be by branches, as formerly, instead of by members according to Rule 22.

On the consideration of the Financial Statement for 1907, it was agreed, on the motion of R. Thompson (Rochdale), that, in future, both statement of accounts and Executive Council report should be sent round to the branches at least a fortnight before the Conference. After some questions had been put, and the Secretary had replied thereto, the Financial Statement was adopted.

It was moved that the consideration of the Executive Council's report be deferred till Sunday morning, and this course was agreed to, after an amendment that the Report be taken at once in conjunction with the question of the Rossendale candidature had been put to the Conference and lost by 50 votes to 41.

E. W. Marsh (S. Islington) asked for permission to move a resolution to the effect that the time was opportune for calling a conference with a view to forming a Right to Work Committee, with branches in every industrial centre.

Committee to submit a resolution. of Cr. J. Jones (S. West Ham), seconded by H. M. HYNDMAN unemployed problem. It was eventually decided, on the motion Socialist principles, which meant, ultimately, the extinction of the thought they had better devote themselves to the propagation of was going to be done, and who were to bear the expenses? He (Central) that the matter should be referred to the Standing Orders the resolution. J. P. LLOYD (Blaenclydach) was not clear as to the meaning of resolution. When the proposed conference was called, what

A. Inkpin was unanimously elected Assistant-Secretary. H. W. Lee was unanimously re-elected General Secretary

J. F. Green was unanimously re-elected Treasurer.

them in the way of funds. duty of approaching sympathisers who would be likely to assist was required who would be able to take up the very important (Central) thought that, in connection with this office, somebody On the election of Financial Secretary, H. M. HYNDMAN

of membership cards, the office would be a sinecure. had held the office before, though he thought under the new system E. W. Marsh (S. Islington) proposed W. A. Woodroffe, who

get down into other people's pockets without their knowing it. amount of time at his or her disposal. They wanted somebody to to attend to, and what they required was someone with a fair Woodroffe, but he was a workman with his ordinary occupation Executive Council. Cr. J. Jones (S. West Ham) urged that the matter be left to the He had no desire to cast any reflection upon

It was then agreed that the matter should be referred to the

Executive Council.

mously re-elected. D. Carmichael, W. Erwood, and F. G. Jones were nominated for the position of auditors. D. Carmichael afterwards withdrew from nomination, and W. Erwood and F. G. Jones were unani-

once, to be returnable by Saturday at noon. the Executive Council should be distributed to the delegates at After some discussion it was decided that the ballot papers for

Matters of Internal Organisation.

J. Sutcliffe (Rawtenstall) moved:—

approval of the action of the Executive in withdrawing J. F. Green from the Rossendale division." "That this Conference desires to place on record its dis-

contested, and the candidature had been prosecuted successfully a letter stating that the Executive Council were convinced that it until August 18th. On that day he received from the Secretary was undesirable to pursue J. F. Green's candidature in Rossenforming the E.C. should be allowed to over-ride that decision. decide whether a decision which had been arrived at by an Annual The Carlisle Conference had decided that Rossendale should be Conference should be carried out, or whether a body of men He said that the Rossendale comrades raised the matter to

> less the London section of the Executive. the Conference to decide, and not the Executive Council, much Rossendale was not a suitable constituency to contest it was for that it was never intended that Green should go to the poll. the imputations made against them by the Radicals in Rossendale that the Party should give them the opportunity of flinging back tive had broken that rule in taking Green away from Rossendale the decisions of Annual Conferences. He claimed that the Execuplacing him in South Bristol. Rule 27 stated that the Executive Council should carry out They in Rossendale claimed

had money coming in from every quarter until the candidate was withdrawn; then everything went "wallop." J. Egan (Rawtenstall) seconded the resolution. He said they

had acted in the best interests of the Party in moving a candidate he stood a better chance. from a constituency where he stood a poor chance to one where down to every comma and semi-colon-and he maintained that they to carry out the instructions of the Conference generally-not than a constituency like S. Bristol. Then, too, the Executive had matter which had seriously to be considered by the Executive. apparent lack of courtesy on the part of the Executive towards the Rossendale comrades. Then as regards funds. This was a It would cost far more to contest a county division like Rossendale could not deal with the matter without first consulting the full was due to the fact that the London Section of the Executive of letters on this matter, referred to by the mover of the resolution, Executive. They regretted that, quite unintentionally, there was an returned in the second constituency than in the first. The paucity because they thought he would have a better chance of being the best interests of the Party. If they withdrew a candidate the decisions of the Annual Conferences as far as possible, and in "boss" the Party. They were extremely anxious to carry out from one particular constituency and placed him in another, it was There was no desire on the part of the Executive Council to F. Knee (Executive Council) desired to make an explanation. This was a

withdrawn. The opinion of the Party should have been taken before Green was was anything but satisfactory. Bristol would prove a better constituency than Rossendale P. H. Taylor (Accrington) thought that F. Knee's, explanation They had no evidence that

D. CARMICHAEL (Battersea) supported the resolution.

ency which should be contested by the Party. He agreed. There was no constituency in the country which should not be contested best for the Party. It was said that Rossendale was a constitu-But they had to remember that their Exchequer was limited, and Executive, but it should be remembered that they had to do their terms. He was not there to appeal for the infallibility of the from that division should have expressed his opinion in such bitter of the Rossendale comrades, but was sorry that the representative H. Quelch (Bermondsey) could quite understand the feelings

put up a much better fight in S. Bristol.

of eight members wanted to run a candidate, and regarded him with hostility because he would not support the idea. He pleaded date was a "darling toy" with every S.D.P. branch. A branch on the Rossendale question. The idea of a parliamentary candiapart from the two contending parties should have a word to say for tolerance for the E.C. in its difficult task. with hostility because he would not support the idea. J. P. LLOYD (Blaenclydach) thought it was well that someone

At this juncture a motion that the question be put was put to

the Conference and lost.

W. Geard (Battersea) supported the resolution.

been withdrawn for any other reason than that S. Bristol was a E. Lowтнам (Carlisle) spoke as an unrepentant member of the Executive. He desired to repudiate the idea that Green had

better constituency than Rossendale.

not treated the Rossendale comrades with sufficient consideration. an easier constituency to fight than Rossendale. malignity. But the Executive were convinced that Bristol was the best intentions: it was a matter of manners rather than branch. But if a mistake had been made it had been made with The matter should have been discussed more fully with the H. M. HYNDMAN (Central Branch) thought the Executive had

tations against the Executive. He would be willing to modify his J. SUTCLIFFE (Rawtenstall) declared that he had made no impu-

resolution by substituting the word disagre ment for disapproval.

Cr. J. Jones (S. West Ham) moved, as an amendment, that the
Conference expresses confidence in the Executive Council, but that the Executive to consult the local branches. in all similar cases in the future, a deputation should be sent from

by 67 votes to 40; and was carried as a substantive proposition after J. Surcliffe and others had protested against the original This amendment, on being put to the Conference, was carried

resolution not being put to the meeting.

After the luncheon interval, SUTCLIFFE (Rawtenstall)

"The Conference discuss the advisability of running a candidate in the Rossendale Division at the next General

,,

£30 in the bank and £40 more promised. The Labour Party wanted them to get out of the way in order to put a Labour candidate forward. They had five branches in the Division, with 400 members. Election.

forward; there was a wide gap between £70 and the £500 which would be required to contest a county division; the return of an had not advanced sufficient reasons to justify a candidate going J. Egan (Rawtenstall) seconded. F. Knee (Executive Council) thought the Rawtenstall comrades

elective auditor was no ground for hope in a Parliamentary

opposed contesting the Rossendale Division. J. G. Webster (Bournemouth) and R. Thompson (Rochdale)

sent from the Executive and the Lancashire District Council to confer with the Rossendale branches. A. Lees (Tyldesley) suggested that a deputation should be

This was agreed to.

numbered 140, representing 112 branches and two affiliated societies. presented. Informalities on the part of certain branches in regard Orders and Credentials Committee, reported on the credentials to the credentials were passed, and the delegates then present F. Davey (Bow and Bromley), on behalf of the Standing

candidature, and moved that it be placed on the fund, but, after a brief discussion, it was carried by 101 to 12 G. W. Hale (Govan) next raised the question of the Govan Parliamentary

votes that the candidature be not proceeded with.

After recommendations by the Standing Orders Committee re the Agenda had been dealt with, the Chairman read a letter of congratulation from the London Socialist Sunday-school Union cating the fraternal greetings of the Conference and wishing Dan (who said 33 new schools had been formed in 1907), and also a telegram from the Printers' Warehousemen's Conference, recipro-Irving every success.

North-West Ham Branch regarding membership of political clubs, and members of the S.D.P. running no candidates under the that no member of the S.D.P. belong to any other political Labour Party, and in the case of the resolution from Stockport "Next business" was carried in the case of the resolution from

O

The Programme of the S.D.P.

brief discussion, carried:-The following proposition from South Hackney was, after a

months before the next Annual Conference." to the branches through the medium of the E.C. at least two alterations, deletions, and additions, and to submit a report items in the S.D.P. programme, and to suggest any necessary necessity or otherwise of co-ordinating and re-classifying the "That the E.C. appoint a committee to consider the

visit, when comrades were sent to prison. (Fulham) recalling what happened on the occasion of the Kaiser's into conflict with the police was next taken, T. WITHERIDGE The Fulham resolution on legal assistance for comrades coming

Mrs. Murray (Walthamstow) thought the resolution would lead

to infinite trouble.

resolution, and referred to the recent prosecution chalking. J. F. Harvey (Bradford), on the other hand, supported the for pavement

E. Pay (Tunbridge Wells) referred to the recent Brighton case, where much money was wasted in consulting a local solicitor.

H. Quelch (Bermondsey) warned the Conference to be careful. They did not want to fall into the pit from which the trade unions had escaped—being liable for the actions of every member of the party. Eventually the proposition was carried in the following form:—

"That the Executive consider and devise some scheme for the provision of legal assistance for comrades who may have come in conflict with the police, or require legal assistance in consequence of any other action whilst in the furtherance of the principles and policy of the Social-Democratic Party, subject to the approval of the E.C."

The previous question was moved and carried on a resolution in the name of the Bradford Branch as follows:—

"This Conference believes that all S.D.P. candidates for public bodies and speakers on the official list should pass an examination in economics, and instructs the E.C. to draw up a scheme to be submitted to the next Annual Conference."

Co-operative Trading.

E. W. Marsh (S. Islington) moved the following resolution standing in the name of the Watford Branch:—

"That this Conference appoint a small Committee to consider the question of co-operative trading with a view to financing the movement. Such Committee to consider the advisability of starting a wholesale centre for supplying branches and districts who are trading."

Branches in London were taking up the question of trading, and they found that if they were to extend the business, they must purchase goods at much lower prices than they did at present. This question was a live one, and should receive the attention of the Conference.

A. H. Warson (S.W. Manchester) referred to the report of the Belgian Party issued a few years ago which showed the great progress made in the matter of co-operative trading. He opposed the idea of referring the matter to the E.C. as they had too much to attend to at the present time. He urged that the matter be left to the local comrades to devise schemes, and he thought efforts should be made to get hold of the existing co-operative movement in this country, and thus bring it and its funds in support of the Social-Democratic movement.

A. S. Headingley (W. Islington) said that the Belgian cooperative movement started with a few comrades subscribing a

little capital and receiving no dividends. They wanted great business genius to make a success, and there was after all a danger of excellent men becoming too absorbed in mere business details.

Mrs. Montepiore (Tottenham) thought there was much to be learnt from the Belgian co-operative movement which would be of assistance in this matter. She thought that if a few comrades were chosen with some business capacity they could start a central trading business. This would bring in a number of members who were not active in ordinary propaganda work, especially women members. She thought the idea was practicable, and if carried out on practical lines something might be done in the future.

G. Hines (Edmonton) said his branch were entirely in favour of some such scheme being put into operation by means of which branches could obtain financial assistance. But this could only be done in the wholesale line in the large industrial centres. If the Party tried to conduct it from a national standpoint it would be a fiasco, but he thought that the branches in each town should put some such scheme into operation themselves.

F. H. Edwards (Birkenhead) thought that something might be done by co-ordinating the efforts now carried out in this direction. He would urge that speakers should solicit customers in the districts in which they worked. If this were done some headway might be made in establishing a Socialist Co-operative Stores.

S. Elsbury (Sheffield) made an appeal for the whole-hearted support of the members of the Party in any efforts which might be made in the way of trading; and J. Finigan (Kirkcaldy) also supported the resolution.

supported the resolution.

The resolution was agreed to without being put to the Conference. With regard to the appointment of the Committee, it was agreed that it should consist of three members. Nominations for the Committee were to be submitted on Saturday morning, and the election to take place on Sunday.

J. Beesley (Coventry) moved:

"That this Conference adopts the badge suggested by the Coventry Branch as the National one."

The Coventry comrades had been to the trouble of getting this badge out, and offered it to the Central Office in order that the organisation might get the advantage. The resolution was put without further discussion, and carried by 93 votes to 40.

South Wales.

J. P. LLOYD (Blaenclydach) moved the following resolution:—
"That the attention of the Executive Council should be drawn to the splendid field for propaganda in South Wales, and the urgent necessity for a good speaker and organiser, and also for financial help if possible."

He dwelt upon the need for propaganda work being carried on in South Wales. He was the only speaker in the district, and against him the I.L.P. had been able to place three organisers to

propagandists into the district. cover the same ground. Wales" on the side of Socialism they must send speakers and If they wanted to get "gallant little

W. Geard (Battersea) supported the resolution.

South Wales to pay for an organiser themselves, as the Rossen-dale comrades were doing. He asked the Conference to reject the resolution which asked the other branches to provide funds for 1. SUTCLIFFE (Rawtenstall) asked the members of the Party in

The resolution was lost by 78 votes to 59.

The Conference then adjourned.

The S.D.P. and the Labour Party.

the Agenda paper: place on Saturday morning. The following resolutions were upon The discussion on our attitude towards the Labour Party took

"That the Social-Democratic Party affiliate with the

National Labour Party."—WILLESDEN.

candidates shall run as Socialists."—BURNLEY. sider its attitude towards the Labour Party with a view to ference of the Labour Party declaring the ultimate object of the Party to be the realisation of Socialism, the S.D.P. reconre-affiliation thereto, provided it is made clear that all S.D.P. "That in view of the resolution passed by the Hull Con-

of the Labour Party with a view to rejoining the said Labour Party as soon as possible."—ROCHDALE. tive Council to enter into negotiations with the Executive "That this Conference of the S.D.P. instructs the Execu-

not affiliate to that Party until it becomes an avowed Socialist stainers' Union declaring Socialism to be the object for which ence declined to accept the resolution moved by the Paperthe Labour Party should organise and work, the S.D.P. shall Party."—BATTERSEA. "That as the National Labour Party at the Hull Confer-

an amendment thereto, whilst the Battersea resolution was treated place on the Willesden resolution, with the Burnley resolution as The Rochdale resolution was deleted, and the discussion took

might have been our reasons for secession from the Labour Party, proposition was one which might not be capable of fulfilment, and movement here does not move for very long on straight lines; it Party, independent of Tory and Liberal, was in its inception a revolutionary act. We must recognise that the working-class moment had arrived. The movement for the formation of a Labour there was in the minds of most of the delegates the possibility would carry us no further than we were at present. Whatever wavers from a revolutionary attitude to one of revisionism. that at some time or the other we should rejoin the Labour Party The only question was, when—and he thought the psychological Knee (Willesden) moved the resolution. The Burnley

> a revolutionary attitude. The Labour Party could not go on tor nounced that it must break away entirely from Liberalism. many years without the revolutionary spirit within being so prothe Liberal Party; but others had taken up a revolutionary attidefenders had favoured a sort of social reform movement akin to the Labour Party had been formed, some of its most brilliant When they got into a three-cornered fight, they must adopt

R. THOMPSON (Rochdale) seconded.

seconded by T. CLOUGH (Ilkeston). L. Rippon (Burnley) moved the Burnley amendment, which was

their seats over the Licensing Bill, but not for Socialism. He was opposed to joining with those who were prepared to lose lution was much more sensible. To say we would join the Labour Party under certain conditions was absolutely ridiculous. W. S. Cluse (E. Islington) opposed the amendment; the reso-

D. CARMICHAEL (Battersea) opposed the Burnley amendment

because it meant nothing.

false to our principles if we joined the Labour Party. Alderman REUBEN GEORGE (Swindon) declared we should be

H. Pearce (Mile End) opposed joining the Labour Party.

ment. What had taken place was the passing of the Object of the S.D.F. by the Hull Congress. He was quite aware that the Paperstainers' resolution was rejected, but that would have meant the exclusion of all but Socialists from the Labour Party. If we accepted the resolution in the Burnley form, we should be bound to capture them. do was to set our principles before the Labour men, and we should would not accept us because we were Socialists. What we must throw the onus of refusing us upon those who would thus say they taken place since last year, and he supported the Burnley amend-H. M. HYNDMAN (Central) thought a very great change had

to take independent political action, and then refused to lead them. Party. He wanted to be on the floor of the House of Commons Democratic principles. We had been calling upon trade unionists because it was the finest position from which to express Social-But there was no reason why anyone could not run as a revoluplace their acceptance in the hands of J. Ramsay MacDonald. J. Gribble (Northampton) supported affiliation with the Labour He opposed the Burnley amendment because it would

J. Moore, having temporarily relinquished the chairmanship, supported affiliation with the Labour Party, and congratulated those who had consistently supported affiliation with the Labour Party on having at last converted H. M. Hyndman to their point of

resolutions we should be saying that we had been wrong for the the situation except for the worse. If we passed either of these last seven years, and that we were going in sackcloth and ashes H. QUELCH (Bermondsey) denied that there was any change in As a trade union delegate he had tried to secure

min wrocker.' Referring to Thorne's position, Quelch said under similar circumstances he would sign the Labour Party's constitution, as it did not bind him to anything. Grayson's victory as a Socialist showed that it was not necessary to join the Labour Party in order to secure an electoral victory for our principles. Were they going to haul down the Red Flag? Because that was what it meant. He was amused at those who said we must either join the Labour Party or fight them—that was like saying if you don't hang yourself you must cut your throat. The Labour Party was undemocratic in character, the Parliamentary Group being the governing power; while there were good Socialists in the Parliamentary Group, that group was certainly not a Socialist instrument, and he objected to a Socialist Party being tied to the heels of a non-Socialist Party.

J. Maclean (Pollokshaws) supported joining the Labour Party. If we went inside we should win hands down. Our remaining outside enabled J. R. MacDonald and J. Bruce Glasier to vilify the

S.D.P. and its members in Scotland.

A. H. Watson (S.W. Manchester) contended that any alteration in the constitution of the Labour Party would have to be got through the members themselves, and the Paperstainers' resolution was rejected by a larger majority than ever before. How could they say that the Labour Party was going forward? A reference to the attitude of the "Labour Leader" on the North-West Manchester contest called forth the statement from J. Sutcliff (Rawtenstall) that the rank and file of the I.L.P. were supporting the S.D.P. Watson believed that joining the Labour Party would mean a serious split in the ranks of the S.D.P.

Party would mean a serious split in the ranks of the S.D.P. Cr. J. Jones (S. West Ham) said to join the Labour Party would be to tie our hands behind our backs, and the price to be paid for the return of a few members to Parliament was far too great. The Social-Democratic Party's strongest card was the incapacity and want of pluck of the Labour members. Instead of having one programme they had 32, only agreeing in playing down to the canting hypocrisy of the Nonconformist conscience.

F. H. Edwards (Birkenhead) urged that they should take a vote of the organisation on the whole matter. He was opposed to both propositions. He was surprised to hear Gribble talk of the game of politics. Such expressions did not describe our

meaning.

J. P. Lloyd (Marxian Club, Blaenclydach) expressed surprise at Hyndman's attitude in this debate. It was not the circumstances, it was Hyndman who had changed.

The closure of the discussion having been agreed to,

F. KNEE (Willesden) replied. There were those who grumbled at the want of Socialist and revolutionary character in the Labour Party, but that was because we were not there. If the Labour Party had gone back since we left it, that was an excellent reason for our joining it again, to make it go forward. He deprecated

appeals to prejudice and the talk about hauling down the Red Flag. If we joined the Labour Party we should still be upholding the Red Flag.

The vote was taken. The Burnley amendment was defeated by 103 to 31 votes, and the Willesden proposition was rejected by 130 to 30 votes.

Socialism and Religion.

H. Quelch (Bermondsey) proposed, without speech, the following resolution:—

"That, in view of the efforts of enemies of Socialism to create division and prejudice in the ranks of the workers by raising sectarian disputes, this Conference definitely reaffirms the position always maintained by the International Social-Democracy, that the Socialist movement is concerned solely with secular affairs, and regards religion as a private matter." The resolution was seconded and carried nem. con.

Decentralisation.

A. H. Warson (S.W. Manchester) moved the following resolution standing in the names of the Ashton-under-Lyne, Blackburn, Leigh, South-West Manchester, and Tyldesley Branches:—

"(a) That this Conference, after hearing the discussion on decentralisation, affirms its acceptance of the principle, and calls for a ballot of the Party on the question.

"(b) That a special committee be formed from this Conference to consider and issue a scheme of decentralisation in the event of the above ballot being favourable, which scheme in turn shall be submitted to the branches for definite acceptance or rejection; such committee to complete its work within a period of six months."

should have the control of districts under their own control. It was only once a year that they could come to grips with one another on any important subject. They asked for decentralisatralisers afterwards. So far from desiring to "dish" the Execumen to attend to that particular work. It would mean economy might be formed if the Councils were in a position to have paid better organisation in each district, but the work would be carried devote to his work the whole of his time. If Councils were given would mean a responsible secretary in each district who would different parts of the country. They desired that local Councils tion on the ground that they would have improved organisation in by a centre in London which was alien to most of the branches. tive, they desired to assist them. The Party to-day was controlled prevalent that they were attempting to split up the organisation; that was untrue. They were Social-Democrats first and decenon much more effectively. the power to manage their own affairs they would not only have the method of organisation. There appeared to be an idea He asked the delegates to consider the advisability of a change There were many branches which

In arranging the lecture list. At the present time a large amount of money was wasted in getting lecturers down. Then with regard to the Executive Council. If the scheme of decentralisation were adopted the Executive would be relieved of the detail work, and would have more time to deal with the broader questions affecting the Party. They would have a much more representative Executive if the districts were allowed to appoint nominees. Each Council should appoint its own men to represent their various districts on the Executive, and they would thus have an Executive representing more fully the national and local conditions.

At this juncture a telegram was read from the Executive of the Jewish Social-Democratic League sending fraternal greetings, and calling upon the Jewish voters in North-West Manchester to

support Dan Irving.

J. Holden (Blackburn) resumed the discussion on decentralisation. He supported the view that much work was done at the Central Office which might very well be got rid of. A large portion of the income of the Party was frittered away in unnecessary work. If the various councils were made ruling parties so far as the funds were concerned, the funds would be spent in a much better manner than hitherto. Organisers would have to be found. The Executive had been troubled year after year by branches asking for subsidies, or that an organiser should be appointed. Here they had an opportunity, without calling upon funds from the Central Office, which ought to be used for National purposes, of appointing organisers in every district throughout the length and breadth of the land. In addition they would have the branches in the various districts responsible for the organiser in that district, and there would be competition between the various localities to show the best results from their work. He hoped the Conference would give this matter the fullest consideration and pass the resolution.

put forward by the previous speakers, and asked the arguments put forward by the previous speakers, and asked the Conference to reject the proposition. He was amused at the reiterated proposition that London men could not necessarily know anything about Lancashire. He was not a London man himself, but he did not know anything about Lancashire, and candidly, didn't want to. But it was also true that those delegates who had spoken so eloquently about the work of the Central Office didn't know anything about it. When they talked about decentralisation doing away with a lot of the work of the Central Office, he did not know what part was going to be taken away by decentralisation. It had been said that the funds were frittered away on London. The work of organisation in London had latterly been borne by the London branches themselves, and during the last 15 months £200 had been raised by the London branches for organising work. The movers of the resolution did not bring forward any scheme. Decentralisation was, apparently, to be some better land, like

Council's appointed under any decentralisation scheme than they council's appointed under any decentralisation scheme than they did from the present National Executive. Even if the idea were successful so far as counties were concerned, isolated branches would be starved. There was no evidence that more money would would be starved. There was no evidence that more money would be obtained by decentralisation. They would get more money as they got more members and more branches. Branches were being increased greatly in number under the present system. Any increased greatly in number under the present system. Any scheme of county association would take place naturally and scheme of county association would like to know what the Lancashire Council? He would like to know what the Lancashire branches would have to say on the matter. The Executive were not disposed to recommend the branches to adopt a system whereby they would have the general national policy weakened. Whereas now, when they wanted a simultaneous agitation throughout the country they could get it by direct communication with the branches, this scheme would create a barrier against such effective work.

D. Menzies (S.W. Manchester) was surprised at the action taken by the Executive on this matter. It had been said that they did not bring forward any scheme. They were prepared to bring forward a scheme so soon as the idea had been inculcated into the branches. They wanted to improve their present standing, and he

claimed that this was the only way of doing it.

thing the Lancashire comrades had demanded could already be branches, and during the last twelve months they had formed more keeping throughout the London branches, whereby it was possible new branches in London than at any previous period. London Committee had esetablished a uniform method of bookhad the means established for the continued opening up of new decentralisation, in London, that despised place, a series of such the issuing of which it was alleged was only possible through demanded by the Lancashire comrades was already in operation in leaflets had already been issued. He maintained that everything With regard to special literature required by certain localities, and the scheme they put forward. London by a voluntary arrangement, and there was no need for ascertain at any time the financial condition of any branch. into force under the present system. In London they already ₽. С. FAIRCHILD (Central Hackney) was of opinion that every-

J. SUTCLIFFE (Rawtenstall) supported the resolution not because he was the representative of a Lancashire Branch, but because he believed in the principle of decentralisation. What they desired to do was to agree upon a scheme for propagating the principles of Social-Democracy better than they were doing at the present time.

Cr. J. Jones (S. West Ham) felt that if the members of the Executive were to be nominated by the various districts, it would mean that the government of the Party would be in the hands of the officials of the body, and he was strongly opposed to this.

exercising executive powers might be overcome by stipulating tha previous speaker, said he thought the difficulty of paid officials such was not to be the case. G. Hors (Erith) supported the resolution, and, in reply to the

operation they would very soon find the ways and means. un improvement in the organisation. Once they got the scheme in plitting up the party, but would strengthen the Party and mean they had district Councils with local autonomy it would not mean MIS. MURRAY (Walthamstow) supported the resolution.

H. Pearce (Mile End) opposed, and G. W. Hale (Govan) sup-

ported the resolution.

thing should be done to prevent speakers coming from London to enforce this principle of decentralisation on the branches. Somehad got to grow, and he believed it was a mistaken policy believed there was room for improvement, but that improvement He did not think they had the best possible organisation London against Lancashire, and Lancashire against elsewhere the Party. against it. been instructed to vote for the resolution, but was going to speak He wanted to have a word to say on behalf of elsewhere. have a District Council for London, Lancashire, and elsewhere H. Quelch (Bermondsey) said that it had been said they might What they had to guard against was the spirit of They were all animated by a desire for the benefi He had

Waste three days on a single lecture.

A. H. Watson (S.W. Manchester), in replying to the disthem to adopt the principle, and then the branches would be able to tion did not ask them to adopt decentralisation, but simply asked against Lancashire and Lancashire against London. bring their ideas to bear as regards the details of the scheme. cussion, said he was not one of those who desired to set London The resolu-

The resolution was then put to the Conference and carried by

114 votes to 35.

Sunday morning. and that nominations should be handed in to be dealt with or pursuance of the above resolution should consist of five members, It was agreed that the Special Committee to be formed in

Election of Executive Council.

*E. R. Harfley, 116; *T. Kennedy, 89; *W. Gee, 87; A. H. Watson, 58; *J. G. Webster, 55. Not elected: Anderson, 47; *T. Lewis, 41; A. Lees, 40; *E. Lowthian, 35; J. Tamlyn, 35; J. W. Milstead, 20; J. Moore, 20; J. P. Jackson, 15; F. Scarratt, 11; J. Harris, 13; G. Gunnn, 12; J. Barnes, 10; H. Cocker, 4; votes; Dora B. Montefiore, 128; F. Victor Fisher, 112; *F. Knee, 111; *J. F. Green, 109; F. Davey, 77. Not elected: W. H. Humphreys, 68; R. C. Morrison, 65; D. Carmichael, 57 V. McEntee, 36. Provincial Section—Elected: *D. Irving, 122 The result of the ballot for the Executive Council was then declared:—London Section—Elected: *H. M. Hyndman, 154

"On last year's Executive

More Internal Matters.

E. J. Pay (Tunbridge Wells) moved the following resolution:—
"That this Conference instructs the E.C. to appoint a purpose of advocating and defending the principles and policy of the S.D.P. in the capitalist press." Correspondence Committee of three from its members for the

for the Executive to appoint a small committee as suggested in the press on the subject of Socialism, and he felt that it would be well There had been a good deal of correspondence in the capitalist

After G. Hope (Erith) had formally seconded the resolution,

thought the local branches could deal with the matter much better. the resolution if it applied to the United Kingdom generally. He J. HOLDEN (Blackburn) said he could not see his way to support

At this juncture the "previous question" was put to the

intended that the committee should deal with correspondence in

Conference, and lost. E. H. EDWARDS (Birkenhead) wished to know whether it was

write articles or letters. They generally appointed one of their technically impracticable. Committees never had and never could London papers having a national circulation. A. S. Headingley (W. Islington) thought the resolution was

but they had never gone to the chief school of Socialism, i.e., the Marxian school. The capitalist press had formed a "ring" and at from the particular class interests of the parties concerned write an inspired article than they could make an inspired speech. number to do the work. Three people together could no more boycotted them. Socialists had been asked to defend the principles of Socialism, There had been an anti-Socialist campaign in which certain Cr. J. Jones (S. West Ham) did not think the matter was looked

The promoters of the resolution were of opinion that this should not be left to individuals, but should be attended to by a committee appointed by the Executive Council. recently representative men had replied to letters in the press. J. CORMIE (Cowdenbeath) opposed the resolution.

E. J. Pay, in the course of replying to the discussion, said that

The resolution was lost by 72 votes to 60.

H. Barker (Longton) moved:-

be represented." delegates to Annual Conferences to enable poorer branches to a Central Fund be created to meet the railway expenses of "That in the opinion of this Conference it is desirable that

were many branches which could not send delegates to Conferences He thought that the resolution would speak for itself. There

thought it was most important that they should have as large a owing to their geographical position and the cost of railway fares.

H. Quelch (Bermondsey) supported the resolution. H representation as possible, and this seemed to be a way of securing

would be accepted by the Conference.

their assistance. could not afford to send delegates, the organisation should go to men, but those who were able to pay their expenses. If branches poverty. Delegates sent by branches were not always the best great many branches could not send delegates because of their S. V. AMSTELL (Enfield) supported, because he believed that a

F. Braithewaite (Ashton-under-Lyne) opposed the resolution, and moved as an amendment: "That the railway fares of dele-

gates to Annual Conferences be pooled."
This was seconded by A. H. Warson (S.W. Manchester).

done to bring to Annual Conferences a real representation of the movement. S. BAGGLEY (Hanley) thought that something should be

ported the amendment. J. P. LLOYD (Blaenclydach) opposed the resolution, and sup-

by III votes to 17, and was then carried as a substantive The amendment, on being put to the Conference, was carried

United Socialist Party.

W. Geard (Battersea) moved the following resolution:-

Socialist Party, and that the invitations to a Conference be extended to all Socialist organisations having two or more "That this Conference reaffirms the desire for a United

a good many years, made efforts to obtain one Socialist Party. He knew that invitations had been issued before, with no result; desired Socialist unity. ought to give everyone the opportunity of seeing that the S.D.P. representatives of other bodies to discuss the question. but he wished it to be known that they were prepared to meet Social-Democratic Party desired Socialist unity. They had, for He desired it to be understood by the public generally that the

them who had worked harmoniously alongside of the I.L.P. were prepared to work in the same manner with the S.L.P. and the D. Carmichael (Battersea) seconded the resolution. Those of

Hackney Branch to delete all words after "party." Amendments were submitted from Govan Branch and Central

tion was impracticable, and against common sense, G. W. Hale (Govan) supported the amendment as the resolu-

work with them. futions should be sent out to those bodies who were prepared to uniquage to abuse the S.D.P. and its prominent members. Invi-F. H. EDWARDS (Birkenhead) supported the amendment.

overtures to other bodies. I. Finioan (Kirkaldy) considered that they had made sufficient In endeavouring to bring about fusion

> S.D.P. and go forward with them for the realisation of Socialism. welcome those people who showed a desire to co-operate with the reathrm the desire for unity, and show that they were prepared to they had met with insults and rebukes. The Conference should

who called themselves the Socialist Party of the Universe. He had never said anything against the I.L.P. or the Fabian Society as Socialist organisations. They differed from them on the question of factics. But he was not prepared to recognise the others as organisations. viduals who had no existence as organisations at all. He ridiculed was sure they never contemplated inviting those little knots of indithe notion of calling to a Conference any two or three individuals H. Quelch (Bermondsey) said he had been instructed to vote for the resolution, but when his branch considered the matter he

submitted the resolution he did not believe they had any idea of sending invitations to the S.P.G.B. or the S.L.P. He believed in about Socialism. working hand in glove with all those who were desirous of bringing W. Geard, in replying, said that when the Battersea Branch

proposition. carried by 91 votes to 35. The Govan and Central Hackney amendment was then put and It was then carried as a substantive

the Engineers in the resolution, which was then carried unani-Hyndman (Central) it was agreed to add the Railway Workers and Urgency was given for a resolution of sympathy with the Boilermakers in their dispute, moved by Cr. J. Jones (S. West Ham) and H. Quelch (Bermondsey). On the suggestion of H. M.

An Appeal to Boilermakers.

Before the Conference adjourned for the day the following resolution was passed without any discussion, on the motion of Cr. mondsey) :-JONES (S. West Ham), seconded by H. Quelch (Ber-

of their class by helping to realise the complete overthrow of capitalism, and appeals to them to work for the emancipation may make to resist such reduction, and desires to point out next, expresses its sympathy with the men in any efforts they of a reduction of 3s. per week on time rates and 7½ per cent. operative commonwealth." the present state of society and the inauguration of the coto them that such attacks on the workers are inevitable under on piece rates, such reduction to come into force on May 27th Boilermakers in the Manchester district have received notice "That this Conference, taking note of the fact that the

North-West Manchester.

in North-West Manchester. When the Conference re-assembled on the Sunday morning, on the motion of R. THOMPSON (Rochdale) and T. LEWIS (Plymouth), it was decided to discuss the question of the election The matter was to be discussed in

should be supported. was ultimately decided, with one dissentient, that the candidature enmera, members of the public and the press being excluded. It

Executive Council Report

Conference :-The report was presented, and appears as amended by the

Council meetings have been as follows:—W. Gee, 3 (once ill); J. F. Green, 4; E. R. Hartley, 3; H. M. Hyndman, 3 (once excused); Dan Irving, 4; T. Kennedy, 4; F. Knee, 4; T. Lewis, 4; E. Lowthian, 3; H. Quelch, 3 (once excused); J. Hunter Watts, 3 (once excused); and Webster, 18. 20 (once excused); H. M. Hyndman, 19 (once excused; F. Knee, 20 J. G. Webster, 2. The attendances at the meetings of the London Section of the Executive Council have been as follows:—J. F. Green, Council, and 22 ordinary and one special meeting of the London section of the Council have been held. The attendances at the Executive Quelch, 19 (twice ill); J. Hunter Watts, 20 (once excused); and J. G. Since the last Annual Conference four meetings of the Executive

Scotland: Bo'ness (re-formed), Burnbank, Dundee, Dunfermline, Glascow (Govanhill), Glasgow (Kinning Park), Glasgow (Partick), Glasgow (Polmadie), and Glasgow (Townhead), Kirkmuirhill, Stranzaer, Wick and Daybrook (Nottingham), Barrowford, Basingstoke, Bath, Batley, Birkenhead, Birkenshaw, Bromley, Dukinfield, Foleshill, Garden City (Letchworth), Hyde (re-formed), Keighley, Leamington, Leeds (Bramley), Leeds (East), Leeds (South), Liverpool (Kirkdale), Leicester, Newcastle-on-Tyne, Norden, Rotherham, St. Anne's-on-the-Sea, South-(re-formed), and Windygates. port, Stalybridge, Stoke-on-Trent, Wallsend-on-Tyne, and Worcester; in Wales: Abercynon, New Tredegar (re-formed), and Treorchy; and in Uxbridge and Wembley; in the provinces: Ashton-in-Makerfield, Arnold In London and suburbs: Hackney (South), Hammersmith, Hanwell, Mitcham, Norwood (South), Penge, St. Pancras (East), Sydenham, The following new branches have been formed during the past year:

a branch of the S.D.P. is now established in Newcastle. The number of new branches formed and fresh societies affiliated since the last your, which was the highest on record. Annual Conference is 57—one more than the number for the previous The Padiham Socialist Party has now become a branch of the S.D.P., and the Sutton Socialist Society is an additional affiliated body. The Newcastle Socialist Society have not renewed their affiliation, but

reference was made to the constituencies that had been considered as good seats to be contested if men and money were available. Since appointment after the last Annual Conference, in considering the important question of the candidature of the S.D.P. for the next General South Bristol then we have transferred our comrade J. F. Green from Rossendale to Your Parliamentary Committee have been fully occupied, since their In the report presented to the last Annual Conference,

Abordoon (N.), T. Kennedy; Accrington, Dan Irving; Ashton-under-Hurnley, H. M. Hyndman; Bradford (East), E. R. Handowston, Herbert Burrows; Leeds (West), F. Knee; Northampton, The following are the constituencies and candidates which we have

6

governed by these means. and the number of constituencies we contest must necessarily be before, our means for fighting parliamentary elections are very limited, understanding that we do not hold ourselves responsible for any financial granted the Leigh, Rochdale, Sheffield and Govan Branches to put forward Social-Democratic Parliamentary candidates on the distinct H. Quelch; and Northants (East), J. Gribble. Permission has been help towards such candidatures. As has been pointed out frequently

of votes polled by our candidates for their elections was 35,751, and we they were not referred to in the last Annual Report. The total number members of that Board in connection with the local scandals. West Ham, where our comrade J. E. Williams captured one of the seats he acted as an amateur casual at the Swindon Workhouse, and that of to the Guardians, after that body had declared his seat vacant because was that of Swindon, where our comrade A. H. Lee was again returned gained 16 and lost three seats. Among the most notable of the contests local elections, those for the urban councils and guardians which took place immediately before the last Annual Conference are included, as for the Guardians rendered vacant by the sentences passed upon certain In giving the number of votes polled by members of the S.D.P.

that of 1900, was due to the fact that, in the previous year, the number was swollen by the contests for the London Borough Councils, which only take place once in three years. The number of votes polled at the November elections was 27,802. The net result was a gain of five seats Elections last November. Seventy-nine members of the S.D.P. contested seats for the Municipal The reduction of the number, compared with

and a loss of six.

carried on. Despite all this, we only suffered the loss of one seat, last municipal elections, and a vigorous anti-Socialist campaign was the provinces. A strenuous attempt was made in this direction at the edly cause similar attempts at Americanising our politics to be made in the monopolist electric and traffic companies in London would undoubt-County Council elections, and it was pointed out that the success of Easter reference was made to the results of the London Borough and In the Annual Report presented to the Conference at Carlisle

is 66,493, with a gain of twenty-four and a loss of nine seats. have polled 2,940 votes, and gained two seats. The total number of votes polled by members of the S.D.P. since the last Annual Conference whilst our votes, in many instances, greatly increased.

In addition to those elections already mentioned, there have been fourteen other contests in which members have stood as candidates, and

in Sweden. The reception given to them at less than a week's notice on May 24th, at Holborn Town Hall, attracted so large an audience in Congress in London last May, after being refused permission to meet rades, who, as delegates of the Russian Social-Democratic Party, met We are heartily glad to have been of assistance to our Russian com-

solved. In London and the provinces meetings were held on that day to that most of the would-be hearers were compelled to remain outside. Trafalgar Square a procession was formed to make a protest outside the Foreign Office, and a violent and unprovoked attack was made by the protest against any understanding with the to the Socialists of Europe to hold demonstrations on July 14th, Russian comrades, on whose account the second Duma had been disdate famous for the taking of the Bastille in 1789, in part of the British Foreign Office. At our suggestion the International Socialist Bureau issued an appeal Although tacit consent had been granted, with the Russian autocracy on the At the close of the meeting held in favour of

Williams was forcibly prevented from speaking for the few moments necessary to give point to the protest and to allow of the quiet dispersal of the crowd; Williams and others were roughly handled; and thirteen on the following morning. Williams was subsequently summoned for obstruction, and fined £2, which he has refused to nav

obstruction, and fined £2, which he has refused to pay.

The International Socialist Congress was held at Stuttgart in August. Next to Germany, Great Britain sent the largest delegation, the proceedings of the Congress appeared in "Justice," of August 24th 31st, so there is no occasion to describe it at any length. The the agenda, in favour of the revolutionary as against the revisionist question of Colonial policy. Edward Bernstein, J. R. MacDonald, and which was briefly to the effect that capitalist colonisation was not it, if only for the purpose of protecting the rights should take their share in whilst the S.D.P., in company with Ledebour and Kautsky, supported colonisation entirely, and urged that we should be better able to help exploitation. This view was accepted by the majority of the Congress. 30, but when it came to the allocation of votes in the Section, we were defeated by 14 votes to 6.

The S.D.P. has been charged by inference in certain quarters with wishing to exclude trade unions from representation at the International Socialist Congresses. We have no desire to do anything of the kind. All we have done is to support the International Socialist Bureau in maintaining the existing Socialist basis of the Congress, and we are class organisations on that basis; but we strongly oppose, and shall the Congress in order to permit non-Socialist organisations to be represented without being committed to the Socialist principles and policy of these International Congresses

sented without being committed to the Socialist principles and policy of these International Congresses.

We have paid particular attention to the Territorial Forces Act of inevitably cause a demand to be made for conscription, and some of the univitably cause a demand to be made for conscription, and some of the are well supported, some kind of compulsory military service will be and our comrade Robert Edmondson has been of considerable help to us in this connection, especially for his expert military articles in the total conscience.

in this connection, especially for his expert military articles in "Justice." In conjunction with our London Committee we have carried on a County Strenuous agitation in London to endeavour to force the London of Meals) Act, 1906. We felt it was of considerable importance that no could have been forced to take this step, their example would have enthusiastic meeting in support of this demand was held in the Queen's London Committee and over 21,000 signatures obtained in the course of about three hours on a bleak Saturday afternoon; and a deputation

waited upon the Education Committee of the London County Council to urge that the Council should pay attention to the resolution of the Queen's Hall meeting and the petition submitted. The Education Committee, however, declined to receive the deputation. In addition to the foregoing, many public and Town's meetings have been organised throughout London on this matter, and resolutions carried demanding that the Government should make the Act compulsory, remove the limit of one halfpenny to the rate to be imposed, and make a grant from the Imperial Exchequer towards the cost of providing food under the Act.

Meetings of the unemployed have been regularly held at Tower Hill three times a week, addressed principally by J. E. Williams, with the assistance of R. Greenwood, J. Jones, and other comrades. A march of unemployed ex-service men was organised on December 23rd, the day on which the "Daily Telegraph" gave a dinner at the Albert Hall to the veterans of the Indian Mutiny. The object of the march was to point out that many ex-soldiers and sailors were starving, and could not wait for 50 years in order that they might then be regaled with a

Considerable prominence has been given to the proceedings of the Labour Party Conference at Hull. The Paper Stainers' resolution, definitely pledging the Conference to Socialism was rejected by 951,000 to 91,000, which was a larger majority against it than on the previous occasion, whilst a resolution from the Engineers, expressing the opinion that the time had arrived when the Labour Party should have Socialism as its definite object, was carried by 514,000 to 469,000. The resolutions of the Engineers is more pronounced than similar Socialist resolutions of the Engineers is more pronounced than similar Socialist resolutions.

as its definite object, was carried by \$14,000 to \$460,000. The resolution of the Engineers is more pronounced than similar Socialist resolutions which have been carried at their Conferences as expressions of opinion. The result of the ballot of the members of the S.D.P. on the questions of changing the name to Social-Democratic Party, and of reducing the subscription from branches to the Central Office to is, per year per member showed that the branches were practically unanimous in favour of the former, and by a very large majority in favour of the latter proposition. Experience showed that the greater local activities of most of the branches necessarily entailed increased expenditure, and that many branches made incorrect returns of their full financial membership in order to avoid the full payment of dues at the former rate of 3d. per month per member. The change in the rate of subscriptions from branches was made at the New Year, and branches are now charged the sum of one shilling for every membership-card issued to them from the Central Office. Already about three times the number of cards of membership have been issued than the number upon which dues were paid

The total receipts and expenditure for 1907 are slightly less than those of 1906, but the budget of the latter year was swollen by the receipts for the War Chest in connection with the General Elections of 1906. The general receipts all show a gratifying increase, and the balance in hand at the close of 1907 is five times greater than that at the end of 1906. We regret that until the December quarter of last year a slight loss continued to be shown on the Central premises at Chandos Hall, 211, Maiden Lane, Strand, W.C., but that quarter gave a balance of £20 towards the reduction of the deficit, and the accounts for the March quarter of this year also show a slight profit.

Again we have endeavoured to get a return of branch receipts and expenditure, and again a number of branches have not supplied the figures necessary to make the return complete. However, basing the figures of those branches which have not sent in their returns proportionately on those sent in, we find the total income and expenditure of

made 1,164, as compared with 1,074 in 1906. 1907 was 1,267, as compared with 919 in 1906, and the number of calls with 21,294 during 1906. The number of telephone calls received during 8,445 during 1906, and the number despatched was 28,900, as compared Office of the S.D.P. during 1907 was 10,157, as compared with the S.D.P. for 1907 to be, roughly speaking, £18,000. The trade of the Iwentleth Century Press for the same period is £7,000, and that of the Ploneer Boot Works £3,500. The grand total is, therefore,

A total of 565,000 manifestoes and leaflets have been distributed by members and branches of the S.D.P. since the last Annual Conference. A special manifesto was issued on "Adult Sufrage." The formation of the London Committee last year, and the appointment of E. C. Fairchild as London Organiser, have been more than justified by the results obtained. Since last July the membership of the Party in London and its suburbs has increased 75 per cent.

Bromley, Willesden, Central, Deptford, Fulham, Hammersmith, Islington and Southwark in London. The committee have issued two leaflets and the pamphlet, "Some Words to Socialist Women." The pamphlet The committee is represented on the Socialist Women's Bureau. A providing the refreshments served at Chandos Hall. section of the Women's Committee have continued their labours They are: Northampton, Wellingborough, South-West Manchester, have had a good year's work. The Socialist Women's Circles are in a Exeter and Stonehouse in the provinces and Scotland; and Bow and The third annual report of our Women's Committee shows that they

Last autumn the National Organisation Committee considered the more horrible pogrom will be needed to rouse anything like active feeling against the Russian autocracy, even on the part of English Jews, the position of the Jews in Russia, we have done our best to influence Members of Parliament to bring pressure to bear, through the Foreign Office, to prevent the repetition of the periodical Jewish massacres, and to make the Jewish M.P.'s take more active interest in the lot of their properly such a Bureau by voluntary labour is much greater than many responsible members to visit new branches when formed. As regards unfortunate fellow-countrymen in Russia; but it seems as if another and Speakers was referred to the Organisation Committee, who are gradually getting it into working order, but the difficulty of organising the organisation nationally. The matter of the Information Bureau for passed by the last Annual Conference at Carlisle, and have found that to carry out most of them will entail additional expenditure on the part of We have gone most carefully into the various resolutions which were

unument of a van or vans. The National Campaign Fund was started at the beautiful of a van or vans. The National Campaign Fund was started and the beautiful of the construction and has been fully well supported. Collecting cards and Justice 'Van should be established for the purpose of carrying on that an effort should be made to establish a "Justice" Van Fund, but shaets have been sent out to the branches, and it is our earnest hope that on foot, and general appeals for individual contributions were sent out, and collecting eards and sheets issued, a much larger amount might be afterwards it was thought that if a National Campaign Fund were set

> tribute to our funds. all comrades will do their best to obtain contributions from friends and sympathisers outside our ranks who are not often called upon to con-

If the Campaign Fund is well supported, as it deserves to be, branches and local councils can be helped to meet the expenses of speakers, weak branches can be assisted and encouraged, fresh ground broken, and new branches formed. With the help of our comrades all comrades R. Greenwood and J. excellent propaganda work among the engineers of the Tyne, whither concerned. The Campaign Fund has enabled us to do a few weeks within a few years Great Britain will no longer lag behind the other countries of the civilised world so far as the Socialist movement is our branches, and more actively to carry on our propaganda work, that formed at Wallsend, and others are expected. over the country we shall be able so to increase our membership and castle Branch has been strengthened by the visit, a branch has been Jones were sent. The existing New-

constituted a nuisance; we are now being fiercely attacked because our growing numbers constitute a danger to the propertied classes. We attacks upon us were instituted show what good results we are receiving from those attacks. Everywhere Socialist activity is growing and new members are joining. Socialist literature is selling as it never sold before. It remains for us then to take every possible advantage of the wide publicity now being given to our principles, and to work harder vigorous anti-Socialist campaign. Column upon column has been devoted to us. Not since 1886 and 1887 has Socialism received so much press publicity as it has been receiving. We could wish for nothing better. We who have always had to bear the criticisms and attacks of our opponents and enemies can now do a little in return. We can subject our our success which will encourage us to go forward with renewed ardour accept the attacks of to-day as an unconscious or unwilling tribute to of success held many back who are now coming forward. Twenty-five a far greater extent than was visible. Want of belief in our possibility than ever to convert the mass of the people to Socialism. We appeal, therefore, with confidence to our comrades to do their best to strengthen the S.D.F.—the Social-Democratic Party of these islands—to increase the number of its branches, and to induce local Socialist societies to opponents, now that they have taken the field against us with their "Black Marias," to some of the criticism which they have dealt out to us. The letters of inquiry which we have received since these press years ago Socialists were bitterly assailed because their small band have had a weary and uphill struggle to face, but we are convinced our time is coming. Our ideas have been making way among the people to affiliate with the Organisation, always with a view to the unification of the real Socialist forces in this country at the earliest opportunity. We A section of the Unionist press has been recently carrying on a

A

Council for refusing to allow two resolutions from the North West Ham Branch to appear upon the agenda paper. T. Kane (N. West Ham) moved to censure the Executive

Next business was then moved, and carried by 46 votes to 30.

Party Call to be included in the agenda. action of the Executive in refusing to allow a resolution for a T. Anderson (Glasgow, South Side) called attention to the

delete the paragraph in the Report dealing with Rossendale On the motion of J. Sutcliffe (Rawtenstall), it was agreed to

financial help they desired. that the organisation, in fighting that constituency, should be as perfect as possible. They were going to "out" Burns if possible. permission to put a candidate in the field. They would take care support. He wanted the Conference to give the Battersea Branch D. CARMICHAEL (Battersea) moved to add Battersea to the list of They asked for no financial help. They believed they had all the understanding that the Executive were not responsible for financial brunches given permission to run Parliamentary candidates, on the

forward such arguments as Burns had on the discussion on the the defeat of the renegade John Burns. A man who could put greater victory to be achieved on the field of national politics than G. Hore (Erith) supported. He thought that there was no

Unemployed Bill was beneath the contempt of any man.

thing to keep Burns in. was that in running a candidate they would be doing the very those two men were already booked, from the point of view of Battersea, their case was hopeless. The opinion of the Executive pointed out, there were two men who could beat Burns, and as time in discussing Parliamentary contests. As Carmichael had F. KNEE (Executive Council) asked the Conference not to waste

The resolution was carried, on being put to the Conference,

and the Report was adopted as amended.

leaders, and calling on the Home Secretary to release the men at A resolution was adopted unanimously protesting against the harsh and unjust sentences passed on the Manchester unemployed

similar message was to be sent to the Shop Assistants A.D.M. at passed sending fraternal greetings to the I.L.P. Conference at Huddersfield; and on the motion of D. Carmichael (Battersea), a On the motion of F. Knee (Executive Council) a resolution was

Next business was carried on the following resolution from the

Battersea Branch :-

made with a half-penny evening paper." gests that a commencement might most easily and cheaply be the desirability of starting a Socialist daily paper, and sug-"That this Conference impresses on the Executive Council

Agitation for Democratic Political Reforms

H. Cheshire (Paddington) moved :-

of No Property Qualification, Automatic Registration, Paycampaign in favour of Universal Adult Suffrage on the basis when the Executive Council should commence a National "This Conference is of opinion that the time has arrived

ment of Members and Election Expenses, and all elections on

Socialist she desired them to carry out the mandate from the Stuttgart Congress to make this matter one of the prominent items She asked them to show that they stood for the social, economic, help them if they knew they were working for Adult Suffrage. the cause for which they stood. She was voicing the wishes and desires of thousands of working women who would come along and be their comrades they must give them equal rights to help forward looking for a lead on this question. If they desired the women to some of the best women comrades in the Party. The women were revolutionary measure. She held that if they did not take up this question of Adult Suffrage and make it a very prominent item in in their programme. She considered that Adult Suffrage was a and political emancipation of women. their propaganda all over the country, they would be alienating Mrs. Montefiore (Tottenham) said that, as an International

this matter up and realise that women were something more than recognised that there was something in Socialism, and nothing in stow, they would have had their candidates at the top of the poll. the ordinary political parties. She hoped the S.D.P. would take As soon as women understood the principles of Socialism they cient stress laid upon this subject. If the working-class women at Walthamstow had had the vote at the last election in Waltham-Mrs. Murray (Walthamstow) thought there had not been suffi-

H. M. HYNDMAN (Central) spoke in support of the second porsame footing as other countries in the matter of payment of demand should be made that this country should be placed on the tion of the resolution, which had been neglected. An effective members and election expenses.

carried by 91 votes to 28. to the resolution, which, on being put to the Conference, was Mrs. Clegg (Bradford) and J. Jones (S. West Ham) also spoke

Homestead Act.

ton Branch was carried without discussion:— The following resolution standing in the name of the Southamp-

taken to place it on the Statute Book." States of America, should be enforced, and an agitation under-Act, similar in its provisions to that in force in the United "That in the interests of the working people a Homestead

Next business was carried on the following resolution from the

Tottenham Branch:-

Cowper Temple Clause which does not merely mean 'simple Bible teaching,' as the capitalist press is always trying to infer; but enables them to withdraw their children from cates of secular education to take advantage of the existing "That this Conference calls upon all Socialists and advo-

monstrating the growing desire for complete secular religious instruction in public schools, thereby effectively de-

Conference, 1909.

be next taken. It was agreed that the place of the Annual Conference for 1909

ence, but after a brief discussion the suggestion was vetoed by the list of places to be voted upon as the venue of the 1909 Confer-F. KNBB (Executive Council) asked that London be added to

of 1909 will, therefore, be held at Bristol next Easter. Coventry, 40; Dewsbury, 14; Hull, 27. On the second ballot Bristol received 76 votes against 62 for Coventry. The Conference The voting was as follows on the first ballot: -Bristol, 63;

The Licensing Bill.

Quelch moved the following, which was unanimously

tation of those evils without attempting in any way to deal with vidual on the pretext of dealing with the admitted evils of intemperance, while it only tinkers with the outward manifesacquire all monopolies which are being used inimically to the right of the community to terminate all property rights and to the Government Licensing Bill in so far as it affirms the that it unnecessarily interferes with the liberty of the indigeneral well-being, is opposed to that measure on the ground "That this Conference, while approving the principle of

adulteration for profit of the food and drink of the people is permitted and encouraged, and that all places of public become the private monopoly of profitmongers. refreshment and social intercourse have been permitted to masses of the people live; to the fact that the poisoning by almost entirely due to the bad social conditions in which the this Bill, and is of opinion that such intemperance as exists is universal intemperance of the working class represented by "This Conference strongly resents the imputation of the

mittedly harmful drinks or foods, and by the improvement of the material conditions in which the people live." total prohibition of the manufacture or importation of adpression of adulteration in articles of food and drink, by the places of refreshment and recreation, by the rigorous supbest be promoted by the communal ownership of all public "This Conference further urges that true temperance can

Education Bill.

Dan Inving moved the following:-

education, elementary and advanced, free and secular, and As against the 'Education' proposals of the present dovernment, this Conference calls for a national system of

> national funds." State Maintenance of all Children in the public schools, out of under complete popular control. It further advocates the

impossible to-day because the educational system was lacking. wanted to give to every human being in the community the opportunity for the fullest development of mind and body. That was tools for competition with the workers in other lands, but they not want to fit the wealth-producing class to be merely keener parson, and minister, and attended to education. It was nearly time they stopped the squabble of the priest Socialists did

not give the would-be teacher the proper training. HYNDMAN, F. BAGGLEY (Hanley), Mrs. HYNDMAN (Hornsey), Councillor T. Lewis (Southampton), W. F. Moss (Northampton) and Herbert Burrows (Clerkenwell) took part. The latter discussed the pupil-teacher system, and urged that the present system did A brief but interesting discussion followed, in which H. M.

The resolution was adopted.

Labour Party's Unemployed Bill.

which was seconded by E. C. FAIRCHILD, and adopted:-J. G. Webster, in an interesting speech, moved the following

capitalism—the cause of unemployment—by the organisation any measure to be effectual must aim at the destruction of ment upon or assist in the transportation of the victims of evil. This Conference further declares its strong disapprobation of the provisions of the Bill which would inflict punishcontains no definite scheme or idea for the alleviation of the appointment the Unemployed Bill of the Labour Party, inasadequate maintenance without degrading them as citizens. of the labour of the unemployed upon a permanent basis by capitalism without dealing similarly with the idle and worth-less of the rich class. The Conference is also of opinion that much as it is little more than another machinery Bill which the provision of workshops and land whereby they may obtain "That this Conference of the S.D.P. regards with dis-

Secret Diplomacy.

H. M. HYNDMAN moved the following:—

"That this Conference of the Social-Democratic Party mental to the interests of the mass of the people. corruption and intrigue at home, and as in all cases detrisecret diplomacy as wholly undemocratic and dangerous, tenddeclares strongly against any continuance of the system of ing to the support of despotism abroad and the maintenance of

—(1) The South African war. Joseph Chamberlain, at the very time when he was publicly professing peace towards the Transvaal, privately declared that if he had public opinion behind him he would have war in a fortnight. (2) The treaty with the Russian He gave several instances of the evil effects of secret diplomacy

despottsm. (3) The alliance with Japan. There was also the recent business between the Kaiser and Lord Tweedmouth.

The resolution was carried unanimously.

Decentralisation Committee.

The following were elected to serve on the Special Committee approinted in pursuance of the resolution on Decentralisation:—
J. Sutcliffe, Jas. Burnett, A. Lees, E. C. Fairchild, A. H. Watson.

Trading Committee.

The following were elected to serve on the Committee appointed in pursuance of the resolution on trading:—F. J. Shirley, T. Anderson, and A. Lees.

The Chairman, in closing the 28th Annual Conference, said they could congratulate themselves upon the fact that the Conference was the best and most successful one that the organisation had ever held. We could double or treble last year's growth if we exert ourselves during the present year. The harvest was ripe; exhibited in all its forms throughout the length and breadth of Great Britain. Let each and everyone of us devote our energies, so that it may still lead the workers towards the realisation of our great cause—the emancipation of labour.

The Conference concluded by singing the "Red Flag," and giving three cheers for the Social Revolution and for the success of Dan Irving in North-West Manchester.

0

List of Branches Represented and their Delegates.

to the factories	111	111	Contrat (a)			Camberwell	(3)	Humber Society	Heliatol (a)	Bradford (2)	How and Browler	Bournemonth (3)	Blackburn (a)	Birkenhead	Bethnal Green	Bermondsev	Battersea (a)	Barnoldswich	Bargoed	Bacup - Jac (2)	Ashton-under-Lyne (2)	Ashton-in-Makerfield	Ardwick	Accrington (2)	BRANCHES.
:				:	:		:	:	:	:	:	:	•	:	:	:	:	:	:	:				:	
		***		:	:				:	:			:					:	:						
F. Froom.	G. Rogers.	F. Victor Fisher and H. M. Hyndman	Parker.	E. Lowthian, —. Potts and W. Scott	W. A. Woodroffe.	Whittaker.	L. Rippon, L. Thompson and I T	S. Bale.	Mrs. Clegg and I F Harris	F. Davey.	J. G. Webster.	J. Holden, W. Hulme and T Hurlan	F. H. Edwards.	E. A. Cannell.	H. Quelch.	D. Carmichael and W. Geard	A. E. Ogden.	A. Heys.	G. T. Cowpe.	F. Braithwaite and H. Cocker	A. Ward.	A W. Mapp.	I F Whin F. H. laylor.	I. Bradley and D. II.	0

Nottingham Oldham (a)	Methyr Vare Methii and Buckhaven Mite End Mitcham Mitcham Nelson (2) Northampton (3)	on on	on-Thames entral) (2) with) th (Garden City (East) (East)	Ilkeston	Hackney (Central)	(College) (East) (Polloksh S.S.	BRANCHES. Clerkenwell Clitheroe Clydebank Colne Cowentry Cowdenbeath Cowden (West) Dewsbury Eccles Edmonton (2)
G. H. Gell. J. E. Broadbent and H. Hall, H. A. Cheshire.	F. Honeybone. F. Milwain. H. Pearce. E. W. Spackman. B. Chapman and J. Robinson. D. Barratt, J. Gribble and W.		and S. V. (son. und H. Raw. son. lliams.	T. Clough. W. S. Cluse. K. Boris. E. W. Marsh. A. S. Headingley. J. Finigan. A. Guile. E. R. E. Jones and H. Sherry.		G. Hope. G. Hope. A. Seaton. T. Witheridge. J. F. Reid. L. C. Moore. J. McLean, M.A. T. Anderson. J. Nugent. G. W. Hale. J. E. Edmundson. I. H. Walls.	Herbert Herber

T. Grady. T. Lewis. J. Egan and J. Sutcliffe. E. Critchley and F. W. Mays. J. Moore and R. Thompson. C. H. Poole. R. Edwards, S. Elsbury and G. Fletcher. W. Walters. E. Holloway. H. F. Boardman, W. Foy and W. G. Shand. R. C. Morrison. T. Robinson. M. Smith. J. T. Tyson. G. Stansfield. Mrs. D. B. Montefiore. E. J. Pay. A. Lees. Mrs. Murray. J. Hargreaves and R. Peden. L. Lee. Mrs. B. Coles. T. Kane. J. J. Hanlon. T. Caterall. W. G. Hildersley and F. Knee.		Whitehaven	Atherton ow		Padiham Plymouth Rawtenstall (2) Reading (2) Rochdale (2) Rushden and Higham F Sheffield (3) Southampton Southend-on-Sea South Salford (3)
T. Grady. T. Lewis. J. Egan and J. Sutcliffe. E. Critichley and F. W. Mays. J. Moore and R. Thompson. C. H. Poole. R. Edwards, S. Elsbury and G. Fletcher. W. Walters. E. Holloway. H. F. Boardman, W. Foy and W. G. Shand. R. C. Morrison. T. Robinson. M. Smith. J. T. Tyson. G. Stansfield. Mrs. D. B. Montefiore. E. J. Pay. A. Lees. Mrs. Murray. J. Hargreaves and R. Peden. Mrs. B. Coles. T. Kane. J. Jones. J. Jones. J. Hanlon. T. Caterall. W. G. Hildersley and F. Knee.					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
dy. dy. dy. is. n and J. Sutcliffe. chley and F. W. Mays. re and R. Thompson. Poole. wards, S. Elsbury and G. tcher. thers. boardman, W. Foy and W. G. nd. lorrison. nson. h. son. sfield B. Monteflore. y. wray. reaves and R. Peden. Coles. Coles.		!!!!	!!!!!!!	111111	111 111111
	N O O	J. Jones. J. Hanlon. T. Caterall. W. G. Hildersley and F. Knee.	A. Lees. Mrs. Murray. J. Hargreaves and R. Peden. L. Lee. Mrs. B. Coles. T. Kane.	rison. on. on. ld. Montefore.	Grady. Grady. Lewis. Egan and J. Sutcliffe. Critchley and F. W. Mays. Moore and R. Thompson. H. Poole. Edwards, S. Elsbury and Fletcher. Walters. Holloway. F. Boardman, W. Foy and W.

(1)

BOOT TALK.

By Councillor JAS. GRIBBLE.

COMRADES AND FRIENDS,

YOU ALL WEAR BOOTS.

If you buy your Boots through the ordinary source you assist your enemies, the Capitalists.

If you buy them direct from our Factory you save to yourself the Middleman's Profit, get all Leather Northampton-made Boots at first hand, and all the profits that are made go to help the Propaganda Fund of the Socialist Movement.

Nearly £900 have been sent already to the S.D.P.

Give Us a Trial.

Send for a Catalogue.

We Guarantee to Fit You.

ORDER NOW.

PIONEER CO-OPERATIVE BOOT WORKS, Ltd.,
CLARE STREET, NORTHAMPTON.